City of Rockville Department of Public Works Parks and Facilities Division



2018 BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT October 2, 2018



BRIDGE NO. PB-065

DAWSON FARM PARK PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE

OVER

TRIBUTARY TO CABIN JOHN CREEK

Prepared by



2018 BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT BRIDGE NO. PB-065

DAWSON FARM PARK

OVER

TRIBUTARY TO CABIN JOHN CREEK



where Callasha

Inspection Team Leader: Jennifer Callaghan, P.E.

11/16/18

Date

mson ara 11/29/18 Cara I. Johnson, P.E. Quality Assurance: Date 11-30 WWWWWW Jeffery M.R. Evans, P.E. Professional Engineer: Date

Professional Certification - I hereby certify that these documents were prepared or approved by me, and that I am a duly licensed professional engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland, License No. 29902, Expiration Date: January 8, 2020.

CITY OF ROCKVILLE Department of Public Works Parks and Facilities Division 2018 BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

BRIDGE NO. PB-065

DAWSON FARM PARK OVER TRIBUTARY TO CABIN JOHN CREEK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
	1
BRIDGE SKETCHES	2
BRIDGE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY	3
COMPARATIVE EVALUATION SUMMARY TABLE	4
CONDITION SUMMARY	5
LOAD RATING SUMMARY	7
INSPECTION NOTES	9
MAINTENANCE NEEDS	10
REPAIR COST ESTIMATE	11
STRUCTURE LIFE CYCLE ESTIMATE	12
PHOTOGRAPHS	13
CONDITION SUMMARY FIELD NOTES	35
GENERAL NOTES	42
APPENDIX A - LOAD RATING CALCULATIONS	43



Structure No. PB-065 Dawson Farm Park Pedestrian Bridge over Tributary to Cabin John Creek Permitted Use Number 21002203 ADC Street Atlas Grid Location: <u>29-D8</u> Map Copyright© ADC The Map People, (800) 829-6277

LOCATION MAP

SCALE: 1'' = 2000'



--

BRIDGE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

Roadway	Dawson Farm Park			
Bridge Orientation	East-West			
Crossing	Tributary to Cabin John Creek			
Crossing Orientation	North-South			
Inspection Date	10/02/2018			
Inspected By	EBA Engineering, Inc.			
Spans	15			
Туре	Multi-Span Timber Pedestrian Bridge			
Structure Organization	Numbering from North and West			
Deck	2 1/2" x 7 1/2" Timber Planks			
Railing	4x6 Timber Posts with a 2x6 Hand Cap and Rail			
Abutments	Timber			
Wing Walls	N/A			
Piers	Timber Pile and Cap Bent			
Overall Length	145'-0"±			
Clear Roadway	9'-10" ±			
No. of Lanes	None			
Out-to-Out Width	10'-9 1/2"±			
Year Built	2007			
Year Reconstructed	N/A			
Approach Section	3'-0" long x 10'-6" \pm wide Concrete Walkway at West Approach, 9'-5" \pm wide Asphalt Walkway at East Approach			
Shoulders	None			
Alignment	Bends several times during its length			
Profile	Slight vertical incline			
Guardrail	N/A			
Current Postings	None - Pedestrian Bridge			
Overall Condition	Satisfactory			
Remarks	The structure is load rated for pedestrian use and can sustain a uniform loading of 91 psf.			

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION SUMMARY TABLE

PONTIS ELEMENT	<u>STATUS</u>	CONDITION	<u>REMARKS</u>
Approach Walkways	$ \Longleftrightarrow $	Good	
Deck	ŧ	Fair	Several planks exhibiting checks, warping, and signs of decay with up to 1" pick penetrations.
Superstructure	\Leftrightarrow	Good	
Substructure	Ţ	Satisfactory	Some minor deterioration.
Channel and Channel Protection	\Leftrightarrow	Satisfactory	
Overall	\Leftrightarrow	Satisfactory	Timber structure was painted after the 2013 inspection.



= Condition Improved



= Condition Worse

CONDITION SUMMARY

Approach Walkways

The approach walkways are in good condition overall (see Photographs 3 and 4). A $3'-0"\pm \log x$ approximately 10'-6" wide concrete walkway runs to the structure at the West Approach, and a 9'-5" wide asphalt walkway runs to the structure at the East Approach. There is minor accumulation of debris on the ADA ramp at the west approach, however it is in good condition. At the East Approach walkway, there is an 8'-0" long x up to 1/4 in. open crack in the south edge of the walkway (see Photograph 7).

Deck

The timber deck is in generally fair condition (see Photograph 8). Since the previous 2013 Bridge Inspection the structure was painted. In general, the paint system is not performing well and is peeling and missing throughout. Typically, there are several planks exhibiting checks, warping, and signs of decay (see Photographs 9-21). There are heavier areas of wear and decay in Span 3 (see Photographs 12 and 13) with 3/4" pick penetrations. The maximum pick penetration throughout the deck is $1"\pm$ (see Photograph 10, 19, and 21). Some nails are popping out of the planks (see Photograph 18).

The timber railings on each side of the bridge are generally in satisfactory condition but are not plumb with the deck. Typically, the railings and posts exhibit checks, and vertical splits running full height (see Photograph 22). There are missing washers and splitting of the bridge railing Post 1, Span 9 at the south railing (see Photograph 23). Post 1 is loose and the bolts have slightly corroded. At the post, there are typical splintering at the fascia of the stringers and typical open checks at the post connections (see Photograph 25). At the north railing of Span 1, there is splintering at the bottom rail (see Photograph 26). There are multiple rails that are also bent and warped (see Photograph 24). There is a name plaque on the south bridge railing (see Photograph 27). There is typically, up to 1/8" open checks in the top cap of railing (see Photograph 28). At the South Railing in Span 4, there is a 4' -0" long section of the top cap missing (see Photograph 29). The remaining portion of the missing top cap exhibits a significant section of rot/decay. There is a misaligned bridge railing at the north railing of Span 7 of the bridge (see Photograph 30). The warped/misaligned top cap is up to 1" misaligned.

The underside of the deck exhibits minor decay and deterioration. The most severely deteriorated planks are in Span 3 (see Photographs 31 and 32).

AASHTO standards indicate that the minimum height of a pedestrian railing shall be 3'-6" measured from the top of the walkway and the clear opening between elements shall be such that a 6" diameter sphere shall not pass through. The height of the railing is approximately 3'-1"; therefore, the minimum height criteria is not met. There is approximately 8 1/2" between the longitudinal members of the railing; therefore, the 6" diameter sphere criteria is not met.

Superstructure

The timber beams are in satisfactory condition overall (see Photograph 31). Typically, the timber beams and diaphragms exhibit horizontal splits, checks, and shakes. The fasciae exhibit typical checking. There is typical heavy corrosion of the connection plates between the beams and pile caps (see Photograph 33). At some locations, the connection plates are missing.

CONDITION SUMMARY

Substructure

The timber abutments appear to be in good condition with typical horizontal splits. Most of the width of each abutment is buried and cannot be seen. The maximum ice pick penetration is up to 3/4"±.

The timber piers are in satisfactory condition. Typically, the timber piers exhibit horizontal splits, shakes, and checks up to 1/16" in the pile caps (see Photograph 38). At Bent 2, there is up to 3/4" pick penetration at the base of the south pile (see Photograph 39). Bent 3 exhibits two areas of decay approximately 8" high with 1/2" of pick penetration (see Photograph 40). Similarly, the South Pile of Bent 7 and 9 exhibits areas of decay from 6" up to 1'-0" high with up to 3/4" of pick penetration (see Photographs 41 and 42). The pile caps exhibit typical checking throughout. There are typically moderate to heavy corrosion in the washers and bolts in the bent caps (see Photographs 34-36). Some of the washers have completely rusted out while others exhibit heavy section loss. The west side timber pile cap at the south end at Pier 11, there is a 1" open check (see Photograph 37). The maximum ice pick penetration in the caps and piles is up to 3/4"±.

Channel and Channel Protection

The channel is in satisfactory condition. The stream alignment appears to be in good condition. The streambed consists of rocks, sand, and silt. The stream flows from north to south between Spans 12 and 13. There is erosion at the west slope approximately 6'-0" in diameter x up to 3'-0" deep (see Photograph 43). There is a photograph in the previous 2013 Inspection Report of the west slope erosion, however the erosion was not quantified with measurements. The erosion appears to have increased since 2013. At both the upstream and downstream of the bridge, there is accumulated debris (see Photographs 5 and 6).

Overall

Bridge No. PB-065 was inspected by EBA Engineering, Inc. on October 2, 2018. All structure elements were inspected hands-on. The structure is in overall satisfactory condition.

The approaches, abutments and embankments are designated West and East. The numbering convention for reporting purposes is from the north and west (see Photographs 1-6).

	<u>City o</u>	f Rockville Load Rating	Summary Shee	<u>t</u>	
Bridge No.: PB-06	on on	Dawson Farm Park over Trib	utary to Cabin John C	Creek	
Date of Rating: 10	0/10/2018	LARS Program: Yes 🗌 No 🔀	Program Used: Hand Ca	lculations (Sprea	dsheet)
Rating Method: L	RFR 🗌 LI	FR ASR Engineering Judgment	Load Image: HMA HMA Surface	Wearing <u>N/</u> ee?	<u>4</u>
Rating Type: As-F	Built 🗌 As	Inspected Condition Report	Date: 10/2/2018		
Deterioration Red	uced Capa	city: No/Negligible Section Loss	Reduced Section	Used for Rati	ng
*All legal and permit vehicle completed, regardless of the The HL-93 is only rated for	es must be rating method. LRFR.	**For LRFR there is no Inventory Rating for State in the Inventory column for Legal Loa	or Legal and Permit Loads. Ente ads, set Inventory to zero for Per	er the Operating Limi mit Loads.	t
matariala	Somuioo II for	Stool only on Somico III for prostron	nicle (Limit States are St	rength I for all	
materiais,	Service II Iol	Pating Dataila	seu concrete inventory	Oneneting	4
Tour als (A colo (Tour a		<u>Rating Details</u>	Inventory	Uperating	4
Truck/ Axie/ Tons	Cart	Controlling Wember	Limit State	Limit State	
	Contr	oning Stress (Woment, Snear, Service	() Kating Factor	Rating Factor	Į.
HL-93/3/36 Tons	enter control	ling member (i.e. Sp. 1, Ext. Beam)	Limit State	Limit State	-
	Select the Co	ontrolling Stress	0	0	
					If rating
MD Legal Loads	(For LRFR th	ne Limit States are Strength I for all n	naterials or Service II fo	r steel only	in LRFR,
Truck/Axles/Tons Controlling Member		or Limit State	Operating	enter Oper.	
	Contro	<u>olling Stress (Moment, Shear, Service)</u>	Tons (XX.X)	Tons (XX.X)	Limit
H-15/2/15	Timber Bean	1 Superstructure	N/A	N/A	State.
	Moment	_			
T-3/3/33	Timber Bean	n Superstructure	N/A	N/A	
	Moment	<u> </u>	27/4		
T-4 / 4/ 35	Timber Bean	n Superstructure	N/A	N/A	
	Time and Deem	Companyation of the second	NT/A		
HS-20 / 3 / 36	Momont	I Superstructure	IN/A	N/A	
	Timber Bean	Superstructure	N/A		
3S2 / 5 / 40 Moment		Superstructure		N/A	
	Wollielit				l
Pedestri	an / Trail Str	ucture Typical Loads (if applicable ba	used on access / deck wid	th)	7
1 cuestii		Controlling Member	**Inventory	Operating	-
Type/Axles/Pounds	Cont	rolling Stress (Moment, Shear, Servic	e) Pounds (X,XXX)	Pounds (X,XXX)	Enter
	Timber Deck Planks				Pedestrian /
Point Load/1/Max	Moment		1260	1260	Trail
Pedestrian /s.f./	Timber Bea	am Superstructure			Loading
85 psf or 90 psf *	Moment	ł	91	91	Values in
	Timber Bea	am Superstructure	(F)((7.14	pounds
н-5/2/10,000	Moment	-	6546	6546	
H 10 / 2 / 20 000	Timber Bea	am Superstructure	NT/ A		11
H-10/2/20,000	Moment	-	N/A	N/A	

Timber Beam Superstructure

Timber Beam Superstructure

Moment

Moment

Golf Cart / 2 / 2,000

Utility / 2 / 3,000

6304

5201

6304

5201

City of Rockville Load Rating Summary Sheet (continued)

LOAD POSTING RECOMMENDED: Yes No Not applicable for Pedestrian Bridge Single Unit Truck: Ibs. Combination Truck: Ibs.

Bridge Information Used: None, Field Measurements 🛛 Previous Load Rate Calcs 🗌 Drawings 🖂

Drawing and/or Previous Rating Details: Construction drawings were available and provided to indicate the dimensions, details, and field measurements for the structure. The structure was not previously load rated. This load rating analysis is based on approximate field measurements and guidance from AASHTO's Manual for Bridge Evaluation (MBE) regarding material property assumptions to use when specific information is not available.

<u>Comments/Defects/Assumptions</u>: Bridge No. PB-065 consists of a 15-span timber bridge with a timber plank deck bearing on timber stringers supported by timber pier caps bearing on round timber piles. The timber beam superstructure consists of five 2.5"x9.5" sawn lumber stringers.

As material type and properties were not available for the load rating of this timber structure, it was assumed that the bridge is built of Select Structural Grade Spruce-Pine-Fir. This is a reasonable, conservative assumption for a structure located in Maryland. In our analysis, the base bending and shear strengths for this material were multiplied by several modifying factors to account for the specific conditions and configuration of this structure. Where specific information was not available (such as the moisture content of the timber), the lowest reasonable value of the modifying factor was selected. Due to the conservative nature of this approach, it is possible that the capacity of the structure has been underestimated in this analysis. However, detailed material data would be required to refine these assumptions.

The clear space between the timber railings for this bridge is 9'-10". However, there are posts standing in the vehicular deck to prevent vehicular traffic from accessing the bridge. The bridge does not accommodate any Maryland legal trucks. Therefore, the structure was rated for a maximum point load placed at the center of the longest span, a distributed pedestrian load, a golf cart, utility vehicle, and H-5 truck. AASHTO standards indicate that timber pedestrian bridges should be designed for an 85 psf pedestrian distributed load. The results of our analysis indicate that the structure can only accommodate a 91 psf pedestrian load safely. Therefore, it is recommended that either the structure be replaced, loads be limited on the structure, or that material property information be obtained for the structure that would allow for a refinement of this load rating.

During the 2018 Bridge Inspection, the structure was observed to be in satisfactory condition (SI&A Item 59 = 6) with no signs of structural distress due to loading.

This load rating was developed in accordance with recommendations and guidance found in AASHTO's Manual for Bridge Evaluation (MBE).

BRIDGE INSPECTION NOTES

VISUAL INSPECTION NOTE

The condition ratings and evaluations presented herein are based upon visual inspection of accessible portions of the existing structure. No responsibility is assumed by EBA Engineering, Inc. for the presence of any latent structure defects which cannot be detected by such visual inspection.

BRIDGE SKETCHES NOTE

The bridge sketches included in this report were previously prepared by others and are reproduced herein from materials furnished by the City of Rockville. EBA did update or "mark-up" the sketches to indicate the field measurements we collected during our inspection for performing the load rating analysis. The marked or updated sketch has been included in this report. No responsibility is assumed by EBA Engineering, Inc. for the accuracy of the sketches and the correctness of any detail dimensions.

INSPECTION ACCESS NOTE

Waders were used to access Bridge No. PB-065.



3. West Approach Looking East



4. East Approach Looking West



5. Upstream (Looking North)



6. Downstream (Looking South)



7. East Approach - 8 ft. Long x Up to 1/4 in. Open Crack in South Edge of Pavement



8. Overall Deck Surface



9. Span 1 - 3'-11" Long Loose Board Between Posts Near West Approach



10. Span 1, Plank 7 - 6 ft. Long Area of Decay with Splintering and 1" Pick Penetrations



11. Span 2 - 1/16" Open Checks in Planks 8 and 10

12. Heavier Wear on Deck Surface in Span 3

13. Span 3, Plank 14 - Full Length of Decay with 3/4 in. Pick Penetrations; Plank is also Loose

14. Span 5, Plank 2 - 1 ft. 4 in. Wide x 4 in. Long Area of Rot/Decay with 3/4 in. Pick Penetrations

15. Span 10 - Decay in Planks 13 and 14 with 3/4" Pick Penetrations (Full Width)

16. Span 11, Plank 1 - 4 ft. Wide Rot/Decay with 3/4" Pick Penetration

17. Span 12, Plank 3, South End - 3" Wide Area of Edge Splintering

18. Span 12, Plank 5 - Exposed and Raised Nail with Adjacent Splintering

19. Spans 13 and 14, Decay in Planks with 1 in. Pick Penetration for a 3 ft. Width

20. Span 14, Plank 13, South End - 3 in. Edge Splintering

21. Span 14, Nine (9) Decayed Planks with Up to 1 in. Pick Penetrations

22. Typical Split at Post Connection - Span 3, Post 2

23. Typical Split in Railing (Span 9, Post 1, South Railing Shown)

24. Span 5 - Warped Lower Rail Member of North Railing; Vertical Check in Rail Post

25. Typical Splintering at Fascia Stringer (Pier 12, South Side Shown) and Typical Open Checks at Hardware Connections

26. Span 1, North Railing - Splintered Section of Bottom Rail

27. Worn Stream Name Plaque at South Railing

28. Typical, Up to 1/8 in. Open Checks in Top Cap of Railing

29. South Railing, Span 4 - 4 ft. Long Section of Top Cap Missing

30. Span 7, North Railing - Top of Railing Cap Warped and Misaligned by 1 in.

31. Typical Underside

32. Underside Span 3 - Four Planks with Rot/Decay in a 3 ft. Width (Plank 1 is Worst)

33. Heavy Corrosion on Stringer to Pile Cap Hardware

34. Typical Corrosion on Bent Cap Hardware

35. Heavily Corroded Washer at Bent Cap Hardware (Bent 7, South End Shown)

36. Heavier Corrosion on Pile Cap Hardware at Bent 5

37. West Side Timber Pile Cap, 1 in. Open Check at South End at Pier 11

38. Typical 1/16 in. Open Checking in Pile (Bent 6 Shown)

39. South Pile at Bent 2 - Up to 3/4 in. Pick Penetration at Base

40. Bent 3, North Pile - Two (2) Areas of Decay with 1/2 in. Pick Penetrations up to 8 in. High

41. Bent 7, South Pile - 6 in. Decay on East Face

42. Bent 9, South Pile - Decay up to 1 ft. High x 3/4 in. Deep

43. Erosion at West Slope - 6 ft. Diameter x Up to 3 ft. Deep

Bridge	No.	PB-065	Inspection Crew	JC/JD	Date 10/0)2/2018
Name	Daw	son Farm Park		Crossing Tributary to Cabin John Creek		
Bridge	Туре	Multi-Span Tim	ber Pedestrian Brid	dge	Year Built	2007

58 DECK	CONDITION RATING	
1. Wearing Surface (302)	-	
2. Deck - Topside (301)	5	Wear, checks, splits, and decay in Timber Planks
3. Deck - Underside (301)	6	Some Rot in Timber Planks
4. Curbs (304)	-	
5. Median (304)	-	
6. Sidewalks (304)	-	
7. Parapets (303)	-	
8. Railing (303)	6	
9. Roadway Joints	-	
10. Drainage System (314)	-	
11. Lighting Standards	-	
12. Utilities	-	
13. Other	-	
Inspector's Condition Rating	(58) 6]

The timber deck is in generally fair condition.

58.2 Since the previous 2013 Bridge Inspection the structure was painted. In general, the paint system is not performing well and is peeling and missing throughout. Typically, there are several planks exhibiting checks, warping, and signs of decay. There are heavier areas of wear and decay in Span 3 with 3/4" pick penetrations. The maximum pick penetration throughout the deck is 1"±. Some nails are popping out of the planks.

58.3 The underside of the deck exhibits minor decay. The most severely deteriorated planks are in Span 3

58.8 The timber railings on each side of the bridge are generally in satisfactory condition but are not plumb with the deck. Typically, the railings and posts exhibit checks, and vertical splits running full height. There are missing washers and splitting of the bridge railing Post 1, Span 9 at the south railing. Post 1 is loose and the bolts have slightly corroded. At the post, there are typical splintering at the fascia of the stringers and typical open checks at the post connections. At the north railing of Span 1, there is splintering at the bottom rail. There are multiple rails that are also bent and warped. There is a name plaque on the south bridge railing. There is typically, up to 1/8" open checks in the top cap of railing. At the South Railing in Span 4, there is a 4'-0" long section of the top cap missing. The remaining portion of the missing top cap exhibits a significant section of rot/decay. There is a misaligned bridge railing at the north railing of Span 7 of the bridge. The warped/misaligned top cap is up to 1" misaligned.

Bridge No. PB-065	Inspection Crew	JC/JD	Date 10/02/2018
Name Dawson Farm Park		Crossing Tributary to Cab	in John Creek
Bridge Type Multi-Span Tim	ber Pedestrian Bri	dge	Year Built 2007
59 SUPERSTRUCTURE]		
Number of Spans	15		
Type of Construction	Timbe	r Bridge	
	CONDITION RATING		
1. Bearing Devices (311)	-		
2. Girders or Beams (312)	6	Timber	
3. Stringers (312)	-		
4. Floor Beams (312)	-		
5. Diaphragms/Crossframes	6	Timber	
6. Paint (313)	5	Timber painted after 201	3 Inspection
7. Other	-		
8. Rivets or Bolts	6		
9. Welds - Cracks	-		
10. Rust	-		
11. Timber Decay	6		
12. Concrete Cracking	-		
13. Collision Damage	-		
14. Deflection Under Load	7		
15. Alignment of Members	7		
16. Vibrations Under Load	7		
17. Fracture Critical Members	(325) -		
Inspector's Condition R	ating (59) 6		

The timber beams are in satisfactory condition overall. Typically, the timber beams and diaphragms exhibit horizontal splits, checks, and shakes. The fasciae exhibit typical checking. There is typically heavy corrosion of the connection plates between the beams and pile caps. At some locations, the connection plates are missing.

Bridge N	D. PB-065	Inspection Crew	JC/JD		Date	10/02/2018
Name D	awson Farm Park		Crossing	Tributary to Cabin John	Creek	
Bridge Ty	/pe Multi-Span Tim	ber Pedestrian Brid	dge		Year Bu	uilt 2007

60 SUBSTRUC	TURE	CONDITION RATING	
1. Abutments	-Wingwalls	-	
	-Backwalls	7	
	-Stems	7	
	-Footings	-	Not Visible
	-Piles	-	Not Visible
	-Scour/Erosion	7	
	-Settlement	7	
Overall Abutme	ent Rating (322)	7	Abutment Type Timber
2. Piers or Bents	-Caps	-	
	-Columns	-	
	-Footings	-	
	-Piles	-	
	-Scour/Erosion	-	
	-Settlement	-	
Overall Pier Ra	ating	-	Pier Type
3. Pile Bents	-Caps	6	MInor deterioration
	-Piles (324)	6	
4. Concrete Cracki	ng or Spalling	-	
5. Steel Corrosion		-	
6. Timber Decay		6	
7. Other		-	
8. Debris on Seats		7	
9. Paint		-	
10. Collision Dama	ge	-	
11. Overall Undern	nining/Scour	7	
Inspector's	Condition Rating	(60) 7	

The timber abutments appear to be in good condition with typical horizontal splits. Most of the width of each abutment is buried and cannot be seen. The maximum ice pick penetration is up to $3/4^{\circ}$ ±.

The timber piers are in satisfactory condition. Typically, the timber piers exhibit horizontal splits,

Bridge No	. PB-065	Inspection Crew	JC/JD		Date	10/02/2018
Name D	awson Farm Park		Crossing	Tributary to Cabin John	Creek	
Bridge Ty	pe <u>Multi-Span Tim</u>	ber Pedestrian Brid	dge		Year B	uilt 2007

shakes, and checks up to 1/16" in the pile caps. At Bent 2, there is up to 3/4" pick penetration at the base of the south pile. Bent 3 exhibits two areas of decay approximately 8" high with 1/2" of pick penetration. Similarly, the South Pile of Bent 7 and 9 exhibits areas of decay from 6" up to 1'-0" high with up to 3/4" of pick penetration. The pile caps exhibit typical checking throughout. There are typically moderate to heavy corrosion in the washers and bolts in the bent caps. Some of the washers have completely rusted out while others exhibit heavy section loss. The west side timber pile cap at the south end at Pier 11, there is a 1" open check. The maximum ice pick penetration in the caps and piles is up to 3/4"±.

Bridge No	PB-065	Inspection Crew	JC/JD		Date	10/02/2018
Name Da	wson Farm Park		Crossing	Tributary to Cabin John	Creek	
Bridge Ty	e Multi-Span Tim	ber Pedestrian Bri	dge		Year B	uilt 2007

61 CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION

	CONDITION RATING
1. Channel Scour	7
2. Embankment Erosion	5
3. Drift/Debris	6
4. Vegetation	6
5. Channel Alignment	7
6. Fender System	-
7. Spur Dikes and Jetties	-
8. Riprap/Slope Protection	-

Inspector's Condition Rating (61)

The channel is in satisfactory condition. The stream alignment appears to be in good condition. The streambed consists of rocks, sand, and silt. The stream flows from north to south between Spans 12 and 13. There is erosion at the west slope approximately 6'-0" in diameter x up to 3'-0" deep. There is a photograph in the previous 2013 Inspection Report of the west slope erosion, however the erosion was not quantified with measurements. The erosion appears to have increased since 2013. At both the upstream and downstream of the bridge, there is accumulated debris.

6

Bridge No. PB-065	Inspection Crew	JC/JD		Date 10/02/2018
Name Dawson Farm Park		Crossing Tributary	to Cabin John	Creek
Bridge Type Multi-Span Tim	per Pedestrian Brid	lge		Year Built 2007
71 WATERWAY ADEQU	JACY			
		1 – .	-	
Opening	Good	j Fair	Poor	
Alignment	Good] Fair	Poor	
Frequency of Overtopping	Remote	Slight	Occasional	Frequent
Inspector's Condition Rat	ting (71) 7			

Bridge N	o. PB-065	Inspection Crew	JC/JD		Date	10/02/2018
Name D	awson Farm Park		Crossing	Tributary to Cabin John	Creek	
Bridge Ty	/pe Multi-Span Tim	ber Pedestrian Brid	dge		Year B	uilt 2007

72 APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT APPRAISAL RATING

1. Vertical Alignment	ļ	Good	Fair	Poor	r
		Good	Fair	Poor	r
2. Horizontal Alignment	Е	Good	Fair	Poor	r
	W	Good	Fair	Poor	r
3. Speed Limit Reduction	No	ne M	inor S	ubstant	ntial
4. Sight Distance	Adec	quate	Not Ac	dequate	te
Inspector's Condition Rat	ting (72)	7]		
APPROACH ROAD	WAY				
		CONDI RATI	TION NG		
5. Approach Guardrail		-			
6. Approach Pavement		7			
7. Approach Embankments	;	7			
8. Approach Slabs		-			
9. Relief Joints		-			
10. Signing - Legibility and	Visibility	Good	d Fair	Po	oor None
11. Posted Load Limits		Nor	ne		Posted Bridge Speed Limit N/A MPH
					Normal Roadway Speed Limit N/A MPH
12. Traffic Safety Features	(36)				
a. Bridge Railing		0	1 N	1	
b. Transitions		0	1 N	1	
c. Approach Traffic Barrie	ər	0	1	1	
d. Approach Traffic Barrie	er Ends	0	1	_	
		-			

The approach walkways are in good condition overall. A $3'-0"\pm \log x$ approximately 10'-6" wide concrete walkway runs to the structure at the West Approach, and a 9'-5" wide asphalt walkway runs to the structure at the East Approach. There is minor accumulation of debris on the ADA ramp at the west approach, however it is in good condition. At the East Approach walkway, there is an 8'-0" long x up to 1/4 in. open crack in the south edge of the walkway.

General Rating Codes

Condition ratings have been assigned to each of the structural elements based on the NBIS condition rating system as follows:

- 9 Excellent Condition
- 8 Very Good Condition No problems noted.
- 7 Good Condition Some minor problems.
- 6 Satisfactory Condition Structural elements show some very minor deterioration.
- 5 Fair Condition All primary structural elements are sound, but may have minor deterioration.
- 4 Poor Condition Advanced section loss, deterioration, spalling or scour.
- 3 Serious Condition Loss of section, deterioration, spalling or scour have seriously affected the primary structural components.
- 2 Critical Condition Advanced deterioration of primary structural elements. Unless closely monitored it may be necessary to close the bridge until corrective action is taken.
- "Imminent" Failure Condition Major deterioration or section loss present in critical structural components or obvious vertical or horizontal movement affecting the structure stability. Bridge is closed to traffic, but corrective action may put back in light service.
- 0 Failed Condition Out of service beyond corrective action.
- N Not Applicable

Repair Time Frames

Priority Level	Time Frame
Critical (1)	Within 3 months
High (2)	Within 12 months
Medium (3)	Within 1-2 years
Monitor/Re-evaluation (4)	Assess during next inspection

Appendix A - Load Rating Calculations

2018 Load Rating Report

BRIDGE NO. PB-065 Dawson Farm Park OVER Tributary to Cabin John Creek

EBA Engineering Inc. 4813 Seton Drive Baltimore, MD 21215

o 410.358.7171 f 410.358.7213 w www.ebaengineering.com

2018 BRIDGE LOAD RATING ANALYSIS REPORT

Bridge No. PB-065

Marin Park over Tributary to Cabin John Creek ANNHILLER 2018 (Load Rating Engineer)

Professional Certification: I hereby certify that these documents were prepared or approved by me, and that I am a duly licensed professional engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.

License No. 51083 Expiration Date: 6/7/2019

Load Rating Note:

This Live Load Rating Report was prepared under my supervision. The analysis was performed on main structural members of the bridge's superstructure or culvert's barrel only. The condition data, calculations, and analysis contained within this report are based on information contained within the most recent Bridge Inspection Report, which is based on a visual inspection of accessible portions of the structure. Structure details and dimensions were obtained from construction drawings and/or previous Load Rating Reports when available and provided by the City; if this information was not available, the bridge details and dimensions are based on approximate field measurements. No responsibility is accepted for the existence of latent defects which cannot be detected during visual inspection. The structure must be re-analyzed and the load rating values revised should the condition of the structure deteriorate or the anticipated loads on the structure change.

Load Rating Engineer:

ara Johnso

Cara Johnson, P.E.

Date

QC Engineer:

Jennifer Callaghan, P.E.

10/23/18 Date

EBA Engineering Inc. 4813 Seton Drive Baltimore, MD 21215 o 410.358.7171 f 410.358.7213 w www.ebaengineering.com

	<u>City o</u>	f Rockville Load Rating Sum	mary Shee	t	
Bridge No.: PB-06	on on	Dawson Farm Park over Tributary to	o Cabin John C	Creek	
Date of Rating: <u>1</u>	0/10/2018	LARS Program: Yes 🗌 No 🔀 Program	n Used: <u>Hand Ca</u>	lculations (Sprea	dsheet)
Rating Method: L	RFR 🗌 LI	FR ASR ASR Fright Engineering Load Judgment Testing	HMA Surfac	Wearing <u>N/</u> e?	<u>A</u>
Rating Type: As-I	Built 🗌 As	Inspected Condition Report Date:	10/2/2018		
Deterioration Red	luced Capa	city: No/Negligible Section Loss 🛛 Re	educed Section	Used for Rati	ng
*All legal and permit vehicle completed, regardless of the	es must be rating method.	**For LRFR there is no Inventory Rating for Legal ar State in the Inventory column for Legal Loads, set Inv	nd Permit Loads. Enter ventory to zero for Per	er the Operating Limi mit Loads.	t
The HL-93 is only rated for	LRFR.	*LRFR Design/Load Rating Vehicle (Li	mit States are St	rength I for all	
materials,	, Service II foi	r Steel only, or Service III for prestressed con	crete Inventory (only)	4
Turals (A ala / Tana		Rating Details	Inventory	<u>Operating</u>	4
Truck/ Axie/ Tons	Contr	Controlling Member	Limit State	Limit State	
controlling stress (Moment, Shear, Service) Rating Factor Rating Factor				4	
HL-93/3/36 Tons				-	
MD Legal Loads	(For LRFR t	ne Limit States are Strength I for all material	s or Service II fo	r steel only)	If rating in LRFR,
Truck/Axles/Tons Controlling Member		or Limit State	Operating	enter Oper	
	Contro	olling Stress (Moment, Shear, Service)	Tons (XX.X)	Tons (XX.X)	Limit
H-15/2/15	Timber Bean	n Superstructure	N/A	N/A	State.
	Moment	~			
T-3/3/33	Timber Bean	n Superstructure	N/A	N/A	
	Moment Timber Been	2 Superstructure	N/A		
T-4 / 4/ 35	Moment		IN/A	N/A	
	Timber Bean	n Superstructure	N/A		
HS-20/3/36	Moment			N/A	
382/5/40	Timber Bean	n Superstructure	N/A	N/A	
3527 5740	Moment			10/11	
					-
Pedestri	ian / Trail Str	ucture Typical Loads (if applicable based on a Controlling Mombor	access / deck wid	th) Operating	-
Type/Axles/Pounds			Pounds	Pounds	-
- J P O O O O O O O O O O	Cont	rolling Stress (Moment, Shear, Service)	(X,XXX)	(X,XXX)	Enter
Doint Load/1/Mar	Timber De	ck Planks	1260	1260	Pedestrian /
romit Load/1/Wax	Moment		1200	1200	Trail

	Moment			Irali
Pedestrian /s.f./	Timber Beam Superstructure	01	01	Loading
85 psf or 90 psf *	Moment	91	91	Values in
TT 5 / 2 / 10 000	Timber Beam Superstructure	6516		
H-5/2/10,000	Moment	0340 0340		
	Timber Beam Superstructure	NT/A	NI/A	
п-10/2/20,000	Moment	IN/A	IN/A	
Calf Cant / 2 / 2 000	Timber Beam Superstructure	6204	6204]
Gon Cart / 2 / 2,000	Moment	0304	0304	
	Timber Beam Superstructure	5201	5201	L
Utility / 2 / 3,000	Moment	5201	5201	

* Pedestrian Load Note: The Design Load using LFD or ASD methods is 85 psf; design load using LRFD method is 90 psf.

City of Rockville Load Rating Summary Sheet (continued)

LOAD POSTING RECOMMENDED: Yes No Not applicable for Pedestrian Bridge Single Unit Truck: Ibs. Combination Truck: Ibs.

Bridge Information Used: None, Field Measurements 🛛 Previous Load Rate Calcs 🗌 Drawings 🖂

Drawing and/or Previous Rating Details: Construction drawings were available and provided to indicate the dimensions, details, and field measurements for the structure. The structure was not previously load rated. This load rating analysis is based on approximate field measurements and guidance from AASHTO's Manual for Bridge Evaluation (MBE) regarding material property assumptions to use when specific information is not available.

Comments/Defects/Assumptions: Bridge No. PB-065 consists of a 15-span timber bridge with a timber plank deck bearing on timber stringers supported by timber pier caps bearing on round timber piles. The timber beam superstructure consists of five 2.5"x9.5" sawn lumber stringers.

As material type and properties were not available for the load rating of this timber structure, it was assumed that the bridge is built of Select Structural Grade Spruce-Pine-Fir. This is a reasonable, conservative assumption for a structure located in Maryland. In our analysis, the base bending and shear strengths for this material were multiplied by several modifying factors to account for the specific conditions and configuration of this structure. Where specific information was not available (such as the moisture content of the timber), the lowest reasonable value of the modifying factor was selected. Due to the conservative nature of this approach, it is possible that the capacity of the structure has been underestimated in this analysis. However, detailed material data would be required to refine these assumptions.

The clear space between the timber railings for this bridge is 9'-10". However, there are posts standing in the vehicular deck to prevent vehicular traffic from accessing the bridge. The bridge does not accommodate any Maryland legal trucks. Therefore, the structure was rated for a maximum point load placed at the center of the longest span, a distributed pedestrian load, a golf cart, utility vehicle, and H-5 truck. AASHTO standards indicate that timber pedestrian bridges should be designed for an 85 psf pedestrian distributed load. The results of our analysis indicate that the structure can only accommodate a 91 psf pedestrian load safely. Therefore, it is recommended that either the structure be replaced, loads be limited on the structure, or that material property information be obtained for the structure that would allow for a refinement of this load rating.

During the 2018 Bridge Inspection, the structure was observed to be in satisfactory condition (SI&A Item 59 = 6) with no signs of structural distress due to loading.

This load rating was developed in accordance with recommendations and guidance found in AASHTO's Manual for Bridge Evaluation (MBE).

PEDESTRIAN / TRAIL STRUCTURE DESIGN LOADS

Figure 3.2-1—Maintenance Vehicle Configurations.

PEDESTRIAN / TRAIL STRUCTURE DESIGN LOADS

CHECK BOX IF LOAD RATED

Χ

Deck clear width over 4 feet?

Golf Cart Load

(1 Ton = 2.0 KIPS Total Load)

Deck clear width over 5 feet?

UTILITY VEHICLE / GATOR

(1.5 Tons = 3.0 KIPS Total Load)

Maryland SHA Vehicles for LOAD RATING

DESIGN VEHICLES: (Remember to Evaluate Lane Loading)

CHECK BOX IF LOAD RATED RATING VEHICLES

(All numbers in circles are axle loads in 1,000 lbs i.e. (8) – 8,000 lb axle load)

LRFR Design Vehicle (Non Permit Load rating):

Deck clear width over 10 feet?

HL-93 (for LRFR only)

HL-93 (SIA Items 401 and 402) 72,000 pounds include AASHTO Lane Load and tandem where applicable (If the LRFD method was used in the design of the structure)

HS-20 (items 409 and 410) 72,000 pounds (Evaluation not required if HL-93 is rated)

AASHTO DEFINITIONS:

INVENTORY RATING: The Inventory rating level generally corresponds to the customary design level of stresses but reflects the existing bridge and material conditions with regard to deterioration and loss of section. Load ratings based on the Inventory level allow comparisons with the capacity for new structures and, therefore, results in a live load which can safely utilize an existing structure for an indefinite period of time.

OPERATING RATING: Load ratings based on the Operating rating level generally describe the maximum permissible live load to which the structure may be subjected. Allowing unlimited numbers of vehicles to use the bridge at Operating level may shorten the life of the bridge.

SINGLE UNIT TRUCKS:

H-15 (SIA Items 403 and 404) 30,000 pounds

Type 3 (SIA Items 405 and 406) 66,000 pounds

Type 4 – Reduced Lift Axle (10 kips maximum on lift) (SIA Items 407 and 408) 70,000 pounds

MD TYPE 4 SU TRUCK

COMBINATION TRUCKS:

Deck clear width over 10 feet?

HS-20 (items 409 and 410) 72,000 pounds (Evaluation not required if HL-93 is rated)

HS-20 AASHTO COMBINATION TRUCK

3S2 (SIA Items 411 and 412) 80,000 pounds

PB-065 Dawson Farm Park over Tributary to Cabin John Creek

Bridge Geometry:

15 span timber bridge with simply supported square girders.

Overall Length:	145	ft
Span Length:	11	ft
Deck Width:	10.08	ft
Deck Plank Width:	7.5	in
Deck Plank Depth:	2.5	in
Deck Plank Moment of Inertia:	9.77	in ⁴
Clear Path Width:	9.83	ft
Beam Depth:	9.5	in
Beam Width:	2.5	in
Beam Moment of Inertia:	178.62	in ⁴

Material: Assume Select Structural Grade Spruce-Pine-Fir

Superimposed Dead Loads:

<u>Load Name</u>	<u>Unit Weight (pcf)</u>	Distributed Load (p	<u>lf)</u>
Timber Deck	50	6.51	along deck span
Timber Deck	50	26.26	along beams span
Timber Superstructure	50	8.25	one beam

Deck Bending Moment due to Dead Loads:

M _{dead} =	4.21	lb-ft
F _{b,dead} =	6.47	psi

Deck Shear due to Dead Loads:

V _{dead} =	9.72	lbs
F _{b.dead} =	0.78	psi

Superstructure Bending Moment due to Dead Loads:

M _{dead} =	323.31	lb-ft
F _{b,dead} =	103.17	psi

Superstructure Shear due to Dead Loads:

V _{dead} =	146.96	lbs
F _{b,dead} =	9.28	psi

Deck Design Capacity:

 $F'_v = F_v C_i C_D C_M C_t$

Coefficient	Value	Source
C _i	1	NDS Sec. 4.3.8
C _D	0.9	NDS Table 2.3.2
C _M	0.97	NDS Supp. Tables 4A-F
C _t	1	NDS Table 2.3.3
F _b =	135	psi (NDS Supp. Table 4A)
F' _b =	117.86	psi

Superstructure Design Capacity:

Load Rating Calculations Timber Girder Pedestrian Bridge

	Beam Bending M	loment due to D M _{pod} =	istributed Pedes 453.75	strian Load: Ib-in
		F _{b,ped} =	12.07	psi
	Beam Shear due	to Distributed P	edestrian Load:	
		V _{ped} =	13.75	lb
		F _{b,ped} =	0.87	psi
	Point Load:		1	lb
	Deck Bending Mo	oment due to Pe	edestrian Point L	oad:
		M _{ped} =	5.90	lb-in
		F _{b,ped} =	0.76	psi
	Deck Shear due t	o Pedestrian Po	int Load:	
		V _{ped} =	0.50	lb
		$F_{b,ped} =$	0.04	psi
	Beam Bending M	loment due to P	edestrian Point	Load:
		M _{ped} =	33.00	lb-in
		$F_{b,ped} =$	0.88	psi
	Beam Shear due	to Pedestrian Po	pint Load:	
		V _{ped} =	0.50	lb
		$F_{b,ped} =$	0.032	psi
Vehicle Live Loa	ads:			
	Golf Cart:			
	Deck Bending Mo	oment due to Go	olf Cart:	
		M _{GC} =	200.00	lb-ft
		F _{b,GC} =	307.20	psi

Deck Shear due to Golf Cart:

V _{GC} =	400.00	lb
F _{b,GC} =	21.33	psi

Beam Bending Moment due to Golf Cart:

M _{ped} =	13200.00	lb-in
F _{b,ped} =	351.02	psi

Beam Shear due to Golf Cart:

V _{ped} =	400.00	lb
F _{b,ped} =	25.263	psi

Utility Vehicle:

Deck Bending Moment due to Utility Vehicle:

noment due to	Jounty venicle.	
M _{GC} =	400.00	lb-ft
F _{b,GC} =	614.40	psi

Deck Shear due to Utility Vehicle:

V _{GC} =	800.00	lb
F _{b,GC} =	42.67	psi

Beam Bending Moment due to Utility Vehicle:

M _{ped} =	24000.00	lb-in
F _{b,ped} =	638.23	psi

Beam Shear due to Utility Vehicle:

V _{ped} =	836.36	lb
$F_{b,ped} =$	52.823	psi

H-5 Truck:

Deck Bending Moment du	ue to H-5 Truck:	
M _{H-5} =	1502.73	lb-ft
F _{b,H-5} =	2308.20	psi

Deck Shear due to H-5 Truck:

V _{H-5} =	819.67	lb
F _{b,H-5} =	43.72	psi

Beam Bending Moment due to H-5 Truck:

M _{H-5} =	27049.18	lb-in
F _{b,H-5} =	719.31	psi

Beam Shear due to H-5 Truck:

V _{H-5} =	409.84	lb
F _{b,H-5} =	25.884	psi

Deck Load Ratings:

Load Type	Allow Bending Stress - DL Bending Stress (psi)	LL Bending Stress (psi)	Moment Factor
Distributed	1511.10	0.62	2434.21
Point	1511.10	0.76	2000.93
Golf Cart	1511.10	307.20	4.92
Utility Vehicle	1511.10	614.40	2.46
H-5 Truck	1511.10	2308.20	0.65

Load Type	Allow Shear Stress - DL Shear Stress (psi)	LL Shear Stress (psi)	Shear Factor
Distributed	117.08	0.30	392.20
Point	117.08	0.04	2926.94
Golf Cart	117.08	21.33	5.49
Utility Vehicle	117.08	42.67	2.74
H-5 Truck	117.08	43.72	2.68

Load Type	Moment Load Rating	Shear Load Rating	Deck Load Rating	
Distributed	2434.21	392.20	392.20	psf
Point	2000.93	2926.94	2000.93	lb
Golf Cart	9837.91	10976.03	9837.91	lb
Utility Vehicle	7378.43	8232.02	7378.43	lb
H-5 Truck	6546.68	26781.51	6546.68	lb

Superstructure Load Ratings:

Load Type	Allow Bending Stress - DL Bending Stress (psi)	LL Bending Stress (psi)	Moment Factor
Distributed	1106.48	12.07	91.70
Point	1106.48	0.88	1260.86
Golf Cart	1106.48	351.02	3.15
Utility Vehicle	1106.48	638.23	1.73
H-5 Truck	1106.48	719.31	1.54

Load Rating Calculations Timber Girder Pedestrian Bridge

Load Type	Allow Shear Stress - DL Shear Stress (psi)	LL Shear Stress (psi)	Shear Factor
Distributed	108.57	0.87	125.02
Point	108.57	0.03	3438.16
Golf Cart	108.57	25.26	4.30
Utility Vehicle	108.57	52.82	2.06
H-5 Truck	108.57	25.88	4.19

Load Type	Moment Load Rating	Shear Load Rating	Load Rating	
Distributed	91.70	125.02	91.70	psf
Point	1260.86	3438.16	1260.86	lb
Golf Cart	6304.30	8595.39	6304.30	lb
Utility Vehicle	5201.05	6166.26	5201.05	lb
H-5 Truck	15382.50	41945.51	15382.50	lb

Load Rating Summary:

Load	Load Rating	Load Rating Factor	Controlling Member
85 PSF Pedestrian Load	91.70	1.08	Beams (Moment)
Point Load (lb)	1260.86	1.26	Beams (Moment)
Golf Cart (lb)	6304.30	3.15	Beams (Moment)
Utility Vehicle (lb)	5201.05	1.73	Beams (Moment)
H-5 Truck (lb)	6546.68	0.65	Deck (Moment)

City of Rockville, Maryland Department of Public Works 111 Maryland Avenue Rockville, Maryland 20850